

1 Todd Becker – State Bar. No. 127567  
2 Inna S. Demin – State Bar. No. 266737  
BECKER LAW GROUP  
3 3750 E. Anaheim Street, Suite 100  
Long Beach, CA, 90804  
Tel: (562) 495-1500  
Fax: (562) 494-8904

5 Patrick McNicholas – State Bar No. 125868  
6 David Angeloff – State Bar No. 272929  
MCNICHOLAS & MCNICHOLAS, LLP  
7 10866 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90024  
Tel: (310) 474-1582  
Fax: (310) 475-7871

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
11 JUNAN CHEN, KELLY YAO WANG, CHANGSHUANG WANG,  
JINSHUANG LIU, LICHU CHEN, and WENQUEI HONG

12  
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 JUNAN CHEN, KELLY YAO WANG,  
16 CHANGSHUANG WANG, JINSHUANG LIU,  
17 LICHU CHEN, and WENQUEI HONG,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 -vs.-

20 COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA; SANTA  
21 BARBARA COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
22 DEPARTMENT; CAPRI APARTMENTS AT  
ISLA VISTA; ASSET CAMPUS HOUSING;  
and DOES 1 through 200, Inclusive,

23 Defendants.

24 Case No.: 2:15-CV-01509

25 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

26 **(1) VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS  
UNDER THE FOURTEENTH  
AMENDMENT (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

27 **(2) NEGLIGENCE**

28 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiffs, JUNAN CHEN, KELLY YAO WANG, CHANGSHUANG WANG,  
JINSHUANG LIU, LICHU CHEN, and WENQUEI HONG, (hereinafter collectively referred to as

1 "Plaintiffs") hereby request a jury trial and allege based upon information and belief as follows:

2 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3       1. This case arises under 42 U.S.C § 1983 and California law. This Court has subject  
4 matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has subject matter  
5 jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' pendent or supplemental state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

6       2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b) in that the unlawful  
7 actions challenged herein occurred in the Central District.

8       3. Plaintiff, JUNAN CHEN (hereinafter referred to as "JUNAN CHEN") was at all times  
9 herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of San Jose, State of California, and was the  
10 father of the late George Chen.

11       4. Plaintiff, KELLY YAO WANG (hereinafter referred to as "KELLY YAO WANG")  
12 was at all times herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of San Jose, State of California,  
13 and was the mother of the late George Chen.

14       5. Plaintiff, CHANGSHUANG WANG (hereinafter referred to as "CHANGSHUANG  
15 WANG") was at all times herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of Fremont, State of  
16 California, and was the father of the late Weihan "David" Wang.

17       6. Plaintiff, JINSHUANG LIU (hereinafter referred to as "JINSHUANG LIU") was at all  
18 times herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of Fremont, State of California, and was  
19 the mother of the late Weihan "David" Wang.

20       7. Plaintiff, LICHU CHEN (hereinafter referred to as "LICHU CHEN") was at all times  
21 herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of San Jose, State of California, and was the  
22 mother of the late Cheng-Yuan "James" Hong.

23       8. Plaintiff, WENQUEI HONG (hereinafter referred to as "WENQUEI HONG") was at all  
24 times herein mentioned, an individual residing in the city of San Jose, State of California, and was  
25

1 the father of the late Cheng-Yuan "James" Hong.

2 9. Defendant COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA (hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY")  
3 is a municipality duly organized under the laws of the State of California, whose principal place of  
4 business is located in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. At all relevant times,  
5 Defendants Does 1-10 were officers and employees of COUNTY. The COUNTY OF SANTA  
6 BARBARA SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT is a subsidiary of COUNTY. COUNTY is responsible  
7 for Plaintiffs' injuries under 42 U.S.C § 1983 because its official policies, practices, and/or customs  
8 caused Plaintiffs' injuries. COUNTY is also responsible for the actions of its employees under a  
9 respondeat superior theory. Liability under California law for all government entities and/or  
10 employees is based upon California Government Code §§ 815.2, and/or 820.  
11

12 10. Defendant COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
13 (hereinafter referred to as "SBCSD") is a public agency, existing under the laws of the State of  
14 California, whose principal place of business is located in the County of Santa Barbara, State of  
15 California.  
16

17 11. At all times, Defendants Does 1-10 were members of the SBCSD and were duly  
18 authorized COUNTY employees and agents, acting under the color of their authority within the  
19 course and scope of their respective duties as Officers of the Sheriff's Department and with the  
20 complete authority and ratification of Defendant COUNTY. True names of Defendants Does 1-10  
21 are unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this complaint to show the true names  
22 and capacities of these defendants when they have been ascertained. Each of the fictitious named  
23 Defendants is responsible for some part of the conduct of liabilities alleged herein.  
24

25 12. Defendant CAPRI APARTMENTS AT ISLA VISTA ("CAPRI"), is, and at all times  
26 mentioned herein was, a corporation, partnership, joint venture, or other business entity existing  
27 under the laws of the State of California and providing services and conducting business in the  
28

1 State of California with a principal place of business located at 6598 Seville Rd., Isla Vista, CA  
2 93117.

3 13. Defendant ASSET CAMPUS HOUSING (“ASSET”), is, and at all times mentioned  
4 herein was, a corporation, partnership, joint venture, or other business entity existing under the  
5 laws of the State of Texas and providing services and conducting business in the State of California  
6 with a principal place of business located at 675 Bering Dr., Suite 200, Houston, TX 77057.  
7

8 14. The true names and capacities of defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 200,  
9 inclusive, and each of them, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are unknown to  
10 Plaintiffs who therefore sue such defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil  
11 Procedure §474. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that said DOE defendants are California  
12 residents. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of DOES 1  
13 through 200, inclusive, when the same has been ascertained.  
14

15 15. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at all times herein mentioned,  
16 each defendant was the agent, servant and employee of each of the remaining defendants, and in  
17 doing the things hereinafter mentioned, each defendant was acting within the course and scope of  
18 his employment and authority as such agent, servant and employee and with the consent of his co-  
19 defendants.  
20

21 16. The conduct of each defendant combined and cooperated with the conduct of each of  
22 the remaining defendants so as to cause the herein described incidents and the resulting injuries and  
23 damages to Plaintiffs.  
24

25 17. Wherever appearing in this complaint, each and every reference to defendants, or any of  
26 them, is intended to include, and shall be deemed to include, all fictitiously named defendants.  
27

28 18. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants, and each of them, because  
they are all residents of and/or are doing business in the State of California.  
28

1       19. Defendant ASSET owns facilities and housing representing 65,000 student's beds  
2 throughout the U.S. and manages a student housing portfolio of more than 120 properties,  
3 including CAPRI, and is one of the largest privately owned student housing companies in the  
4 nation.

5       20. Defendant CAPRI operates a multi-unit commercial student housing property in Isla  
6 Vista that primarily services students of the University of Santa Barbara and Santa Barbara City  
7 College.

9                   **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION HEREIN**

10      21. On or about June 4, 2011, Elliot Rodger ("Rodger") moved to Isla Vista to attend the  
11 summer session at Santa Barbara City College ("SBCC"). Rodger moved into the main Carpi  
12 complex on Seville Road (there is another complex on Abrego Road a few blocks away) and was  
13 assigned to live in Apt. #7 by CAPRI. CAPRI paired Rodger with two roommates to live with in  
14 Apt. #7.

16      22. During or about August of 2011, after the summer session at SBCC ended, Rodger's  
17 roommates moved out and he occupied Apt. #7 alone for approximately a month and then was  
18 assigned by CAPRI two new roommates, two males who were Hispanic, who he considered  
19 "rowdy, inferior, pig-faced thugs." Within days of their moving in Rodger confronted his new  
20 roommates, insulting them and telling them he was superior. One of Rodger's roommates had to be  
21 restrained by the other. Rodger went to the leasing manager and "explained everything that  
22 happened." Shortly thereafter he signed a lease for another, larger apartment.

24      23. During or about September 2011, Rodger moved into his new apartment and CAPRI  
25 assigned him a new roommate, Spencer Horowitz. After a few weeks Rodger developed a self-  
26 described "psychological problem" because Rodger believed Horowitz could "see how pathetic his  
27 life was."

1       24. During or about January 2012, Rodger became enraged because Horowitz brought a girl  
2 back to his room. Rodger was furious and jealous because he believed that Horowitz was “chubby”  
3 and “even shorter than [he] was.” Rodger told Horowitz he was foolish for being proud for having  
4 “an ugly whore” in his room. After that they became hostile towards each other and their roommate  
5 relationship became unworkable.

6       25. During or about the Spring of 2012, Rodger dropped his Spring semester classes and  
7 barely left his room, brooding over his fate.

8       26. On or about September 11, 2012, frustrated after he didn’t win a lottery jackpot  
9 drawing, Rodger “threw a wild tantrum, screaming and crying for hours on end,” all the while  
10 thrashing the furniture with a wooden practice sword. On information and belief, this screaming  
11 was overheard by Rodger’s neighbors and the apartment management.

12       27. During or about September 2012, CAPRI transferred Rodger to Apt. #7 at the main  
13 Capri complex on Seville Road, the same apartment he had occupied in June of 2011. Rodger  
14 would later write “I trusted that the manager had the sense to pair me with mature people, knowing  
15 my experiences with those two barbaric housemates I had to deal with a year previously.” After a  
16 month CAPRI assigned Rodger two new roommates, whom he described as “timid geeks” who  
17 were “quiet, respectful and friendly.” Rodger registered for classes and then dropped them.

18       28. During or about November 2012, after losing the Powerball lottery, Rodger cried for  
19 hours and called his parents complaining that he was a 21 year old virgin unable to get a girlfriend  
20 or make friends and he expressed he would never be happy. Rodger’s parents arranged for him to  
21 begin seeing a psychiatrist, Dr. Charles Sophy.

22       29. During or about November of 2012, Rodger becomes serious about executing the “Day  
23 of Retribution,” an event he had been planning since his arrival in Santa Barbara in which Rodger  
24 planned to massacre young people in the streets of Isla Vista to get “revenge” on them. In his own  
25

1 words: "It would be a day in which I exact my ultimate retribution and revenge on all of the  
2 hedonistic scum who enjoyed lives of pleasure that they don't deserve. If I can't have it, I will  
3 destroy it. I will destroy all women because I can never have them. I will make them all suffer for  
4 rejecting me. I will arm myself with deadly weapons and wage a war against all women and the  
5 men they are attracted to. And I will slaughter them like the animals they are."

6  
7 30. During or about December of 2012, Rodger purchased a Glock 34 semiautomatic pistol  
8 from Goleta Gun & Supply, signing all the paperwork in his own name.

9  
10 31. During or about April of 2013, Rodger posted hateful, angry, deeply misogynist and  
11 racist material under his own name on various websites including but not limited to specific posts  
12 on: Wizardchan, a forum for male virgins; PuaHate, a site for failed pickup artists ("Today I drove  
13 through the area near my college and saw some things that were extremely rage-inducing; I passed  
14 by this restaurant and I saw this black guy chilling with 4 hot white girls. He didn't even look good.  
15 Then later on in the day I was shopping at Trader Joe's and saw an Indian guy with 2 above average  
16 White Girls!!!; One day incels will realize their true strength and numbers, and will overthrow this  
17 oppressive feminist system. Start envisioning a world where WOMEN FEAR YOU.");  
18 Bodybuilding.com, a web community for bodybuilding enthusiasts; and his own YouTube channel,  
19 where he posted videos of himself ranting in angry and threatening ways. One of the videos posted  
20 on Reddit.com spurred commenters to question Rodger's sanity, with one commenter saying "If  
21 this isn't a troll, then I bet we find out this guy is a serial killer. I'm getting a strong Patrick  
22 Bateman vibe from him." Virtually all of the content Rodger had posted online was easily  
23 discoverable with simple Google searches of his name.

24  
25 32. During or about Spring of 2013, Rodger purchased a Sig Sauer P226 pistol and several  
26 boxes of ammunition.

1       33. On or about July 20, 2013, Rodger went to a party in Isla Vista in an intoxicated state.  
2 He became angry at a group of girls he believed was ignoring him, and tried to push some of them  
3 off of a ledge. A group of male students intervened, and pushed Rodger off the ledge, breaking his  
4 ankle. As he was stumbling away from the party, Rodger realized he lost his Gucci sunglasses  
5 during the altercation. The suspect turned around so he could go back to the party and retrieve his  
6 sunglasses. Due to his level of alcohol intoxication, the suspect mistakenly went to the wrong  
7 house and demanded his sunglasses be returned to him. The occupants of this house called Rodger  
8 names and began kicking and punching him. Rodger eventually left this house and fled the area.  
9 Rodger returned home, bruised, disheveled, and crying and told a neighbor at the Capri Apartments  
10 “I’m gonna kill all those motherf\*\*\*kers and kill myself.”

12       34. On or about July 21, 2013, Rodger called his father and told him he had been injured.  
13 Rodger’s father drove up from Los Angeles to take him to the hospital. At the hospital, two  
14 SBCSD officers interviewed Rodger, who made up a story that he had been pushed off the ledge by  
15 bullies. The officers interviewed other persons who said Rodger was the only aggressor and had  
16 targeted women. The police did no further follow up and the matter was dropped.

18       35. During or about September 2013, following surgery on his ankle and a period of  
19 recuperation at his mother’s house, Rodger returned to Isla Vista and again moved into Apt. #7 at  
20 the Capri apartment complex. Upon his return, CAPRI assigned Cheun-Yuan “James” Hong  
21 (“Hong”) and Weihan “David” Wang (“Wang”) to be Rodger’s roommates in Apt. #7. Despite  
22 their actual or constructive knowledge of his bizarre behavior and their actual or constructive  
23 knowledge of his history of having conflicts with his roommates, CAPRI conducted no reasonable  
24 investigation into Rodger before assigning him as Hong and Wang’s roommate. CAPRI failed to  
25 conduct any kind of reasonable background check or reasonable investigation of Rodger’s online  
26 postings to ensure that he was an appropriate and safe roommate before assigning him to Hong and  
27  
28

1 Wang. Finally, CAPRI failed to warn Hong and Wang that Rodger had had serious conflicts with  
2 his previous roommates and was not only racist but also potentially violent and dangerous. Hong  
3 and Wang trusted that CAPRI had conducted a reasonable investigation into Rodger before  
4 assigning him as their roommate, and they trusted that he had been vetted as a safe and appropriate  
5 roommate by CAPRI.

6       36. On or about January 15, 2014, Rodger became annoyed at his roommates because he  
7 did not like the smell of their cooking. Rodger responded by repeatedly hiding or taking his  
8 roommates' pots and pans so they could not cook. Hong and Wang repeatedly asked, and then  
9 repeatedly demanded, that Rodger return their property. Eventually, when Rodger did not, Hong  
10 took some candles and candle holders that belonged to Rodger and again demanded Rodger return  
11 his pots and pans. Rodger responded by placing Hong under "citizen's arrest" and calling the  
12 SBCSD. SBCSD officers arrived at Apt. #7, interviewed all parties. Deputies spoke with Hong,  
13 who alleged that the suspect took his (Hong's) rice bowls and moved Hong's property around the  
14 apartment. SBCSD deputies then proceeded to arrest Hong (the infraction Hong was charged with,  
15 488 PC – Petty Theft, which was ultimately dismissed due to insufficient evidence). At that time,  
16 the SBCSD did not conduct any investigation into Rodger based on Hong's statements, did not do  
17 a background check on Rodger, did not do a gun check on Rodger, and did not look online to  
18 investigate Rodger.

21       37. During or about early 2014, Rodger started making specific plans to carry out the "Day  
22 of Retribution." He set April 26, 2014 as the date. He would later re-set the date to May 23, 2014.

24       38. During or about April of 2014, Rodger uploaded numerous videos to YouTube which  
25 again expressed his jealousy and rage toward women, minorities, and people who are sexually  
26 active. These videos, including one titled "Why do girls hate me?", revealed Rodger to be an  
27 unstable, vengeful, jealous, and dangerous individual.

1       39. On or about April 30, 2014, a week after videos were uploaded, SBCSD officers visited  
2 Rodger for a “wellness check” based on a call from a mental health worker who saw Rodger’s  
3 YouTube videos and other online content and believed that Rodger was a danger to himself and  
4 others. Recklessly and with deliberate indifference, no one from the SBCSD watched any part of  
5 any of the videos, reviewed any of Rodger’s other online postings, performed any background  
6 check, or performed any gun check before or after speaking to Rodger. At Rodger’s apartment, the  
7 SBCSD officers spoke to him at his doorstep but failed to even request to enter the apartment or  
8 search his room. The officers left after Rodger told them it was a “misunderstanding.” This failure  
9 on the part of the SBCSD emboldened Rodger and caused him to adapt and expand his plans of  
10 violence, creating greater danger than existed previously. As Rodger put it in his manifesto,  
11 following the wellness check “If they had demanded to search my room... That would have ended  
12 everything. For a few horrible seconds I thought it was all over. When they left, the biggest wave  
13 of relief swept over me... This incident made me realize that I needed to be extra careful. I can’t let  
14 anyone become suspicious of me...” Elliot Rodger’s YouTube videos had revealed that he was a  
15 specific and preventable threat to the other persons living in his apartment and the SBCSD were  
16 possessed of actual or constructive particular knowledge of that fact. Through their conduct, the  
17 SBCSD affirmed that Rodger was not dangerous, increasing the existing danger to Hong and Wang  
18 and their guests and creating and catalyzing a danger to Hong and Wang which did not exist  
19 before.  
20  
21

22       40. On or about May 23, 2014, Rodger emailed his manifesto to his parents, family friends,  
23 and at least one therapist after killing his two roommates (Hong and Wang) and a visiting friend of  
24 theirs (Chen) with knives and other weapons in their apartment. Rodger then headed out into Isla  
25 Vista to carry out a shooting rampage that left three more people dead and fourteen wounded.  
26  
27  
28

1                   **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2                   **VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT**

3                   **(42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

4                   **(AGAINST DEFENDANTS COUNTY; SBCSD; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)**

5                  41. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate as if fully stated herein each and every allegation  
6 contained in paragraphs 1 through 40, inclusive, of the Complaint.

7                  42. Defendants recklessly and with deliberate indifference created a dangerous condition by  
8 failing to reasonably investigate, reasonably perform any background check, and reasonably  
9 investigate the online postings of Rodger as part of conducting his “wellness check” despite the  
10 fact that they had been made aware of Rodger’s online postings and violent intentions, as further  
11 described above.

12                 43. The acts and conduct of Defendants, as described above, were committed under color of  
13 state law, pursuant to official written and unwritten policy and custom of COUNTY and SBCSD.  
14 Said policy and custom included knowingly failing to adequately investigate individuals subject to  
15 a “wellness check,” failing to adequately train employees on investigative technique, failing to  
16 adequately supervise employees, and failing to warn potential victims about the dangerous  
17 propensities of individuals under investigation. COUNTY and SBCSD knew or should have known  
18 that serious injury would result from this custom and policy.

19                 44. Defendants COUNTY, SBCSD, and their agents and employees acting on their behalf,  
20 were aware of this policy and custom and used their positions to carry out that policy of deliberate  
21 indifference in investigations. Defendants’ policy and custom of reckless indifference in the  
22 investigative process was applied to Hong, Wang, Chen, and others similarly situated, thus  
23 violating their rights as guaranteed under the Constitutions of the United States and the State of  
24 California. Among other things, defendants deprived Hong, Wang, and Chen of their right to life as  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 guaranteed as a due process right through the Fifth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment.  
2 Defendants further violated the Plaintiffs' constitutionally protected rights to equal protection  
3 under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United Stated Constitution.

4       45. Defendants knowingly, recklessly, or with deliberate indifference to the Plaintiffs'  
5 rights, maintained, fostered, condoned, approved of, and/or ratified an official policy, practice,  
6 procedure, or custom of permitting the occurrence of wrongful conduct as described herein, and/or  
7 improperly, inadequately, and with deliberate indifference and reckless disregard to the  
8 constitutional or other federal rights of persons, failed to properly train, supervise, monitor, or take  
9 corrective action with respect to their employees' wrongful conduct as described herein, so that  
10 each one of them is legally responsible for all of the injuries and/or damages sustained by the  
11 Plaintiffs.

12       46. The Plaintiffs have no adequate state remedy, both in form and substance, which would  
13 have redressed the wrongful conduct against Plaintiffs or compensate the Plaintiffs for the  
14 deprivations they suffered resulting from the conduct of the Defendants.

15       47. As a direct and legal result of said acts and conduct by Defendants, the Plaintiffs have  
16 suffered damages in an amount not presently capable of being ascertained.

17       48. As a result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, the Plaintiffs were required to  
18 retain counsel to represent them. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to an award based on their  
19 reasonable attorneys' fees necessarily incurred in the preparation and prosecution of this claim,  
20 under 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

21       ///

22       ///

23       ///

24       ///

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

NEGLIGENCE

**(AGAINST DEFENDANTS CAPRI, ASSET, AND DOES 51 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)**

49. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate as if fully stated herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, of the Complaint.

50. Defendants CAPRI, ASSET, and DOES 51 through 100 are persons or entities who owed a duty of care to the Plaintiffs and/or to Plaintiffs' children by virtue of the landlord tenant relationship and/or contractual relationship and/or or by virtue of the affirmative action of pairing CAPRI tenants with other roommates.

51. Defendants CAPRI, ASSET, and DOES 51 through 100 knew or should have known of the racial biases, mental illness, and dangerous propensities of Rodger and knew or should have known that it was highly foreseeable he would cause harm to any potential roommates he was paired with, particularly Hong and Wang.

52. Despite having actual or constructive knowledge of the mental illness, racial biases, and dangerous propensities of Rodger, Defendants CAPRI, ASSET, and DOES 51 through 100 negligently assigned Hong and Wang to be roommates with Rodger, failed to take any preventative action to prevent Rodger from causing harm to Hong and Wang, and failed to warn Hong or Wang or their parents of the mental illness, racial biases, and dangerous propensities of Rodger, despite having a legal duty to do so.

53. As a result of the negligence of Defendants CAPRI, ASSET, and DOES 51 through 100, Hong, Wang, and Chen were rendered completely vulnerable to Rodger and were subjected to his violent acts, which caused their deaths.

54. Had said Defendants fulfilled their duties and responsibilities, Hong, Chen, and Wang would not have been subject to all or most of the unlawful and violent acts committed by Rodger.

1       55. As a result of said Defendants having breached their duty to properly conduct a  
2 background check and conduct a reasonable investigation of Rodger, properly monitor their  
3 roommate pairings, properly investigate the individuals they were assigning as roommates, and/or  
4 warn Hong and Wang of Rodger's unstable and violent demeanor, Hong, Wang, and Chen were  
5 rendered completely vulnerable to Rodger and were subjected to his violent acts, which caused  
6 their deaths.  
7

8       56. As a result of the negligence of Defendants CAPRI, ASSET, and DOES 51 through  
9 100, Plaintiffs were damaged emotionally and physically, and otherwise, all to their special and  
10 general damages in amounts to be proven at the time of trial.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2                   **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**  
3

4                   WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:  
5  
6

1.       For compensatory and general damages for past, present and future psychological,  
2                   emotional and physical pain, suffering, distress and injury;
3.       For medical and incidental expenses in an amount to be proven;
4.       For legal interest on the judgment;
5.       For costs of suit incurred herein;
6.       For reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to U.S.C. § 1988; and
7.       For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13                   Dated: March 2, 2015

14                   McNICHOLAS & McNICHOLAS, LLP  
15  
16                   BECKER LAW GROUP

17                   By:     /s/ Patrick McNicholas  
18                   Patrick McNicholas  
19                   David Angeloff  
20                   Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
21                   JUNAN CHEN, KELLY YAO WANG,  
22                   CHANGSHUANG WANG, JINSHUANG  
23                   LIU, LICHU CHEN, and WENQUEI HONG  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28